

BOX 10026 PACIFIC CENTRE SOUTH ORONTO DOMINION BANK TOWER 700 WEST GEORGIA STREET VANGOUVER, B. C. V7Y 1B3

Maitland & Company
Barristers and Solicitors D & CO.
P.O. Box 54
700 - 625 Howe Street
Vancouver, B.C.
V6C 2T6

Attention: Brian A. Mason

Dear Sirs and Mesdames:

RE: Dr. Fenq Gao v. University of British Columbia

We enclose herewith an Appointment to Examine for Discovery for April 14, 1993 at 10:00 a.m. ($\frac{1}{2}$ day) at the offices of Official Court Reporters. Please acknowledge service of the enclosed document by signing and returning to our office the enclosed copy of this letter.

We enclose conduct money of \$5.00.

Yours truly,

FARRIS, VAUGHAN, WILLS & MURPHY

Per:

Dona1d L. Richards

/djc encl.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

BETWEEN:

DR. FENG GAO

PLAINTIFF

AND:

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA and HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN IN RIGHT OF CANADA

DEFENDANTS

APPOINTMENT TO EXAMINE FOR DISCOVERY

TO:

Dr. Feng Gao

AND TO:

His solicitors

TAKE NOTICE that you are required to attend for your examination for discovery at the time, date and place set out below. You are required to bring with you all documents in your possession or power relating to the matters in question in this action. Please note the provisions of the Supreme Court Rules reproduced on the back of this appointment.

Time:

10:00 a.m. (½ day)

Date:

April 14, 1993

Place:

Official Court Reporters

210 - 800 Smithe Street

Vancouver, B.C.

V6Z 2E1

March 30, 1993

Dated

Solicitor for the Defendant, The University

of British Columbia

Name and address of solicitor:

Jack Giles, Q.C.
Farris, Vaughan, Wills & Murphy
Barristers & Solicitors
2600 - 700 West Georgia Street
VANCOUVER, B.C. V7Y 1B3
Attn: Donald L. Richards

Rules 2(5) and 56(4) of the Rules of Court state in part:

- "2(5) Where a person, contrary to these rules and without lawful excuse,
 - (a) refuses or neglects to obey a subpoena or to attend at the time and place appointed for his or her examination for discovery,

then

- (f) where the person is the plaintiff, petitioner, or a present officer of a corporate plaintiff or petitioner or a partner in or manager of a partnership plaintiff or petitioner, the court may dismiss the proceeding, and
- (g) where the person is the defendant, respondent, or a third party or a present officer of a corporate defendant, respondent, or third party or a partner in or manager of a partnership defendant, respondent, or third party, may order the proceeding to continue as if no appearance had been entered ...
- 56(4) A person who is guilty of an act or omission described in Rule 2(5), or Rule 40(19), in addition to being subject to any consequences prescribed by those rules, is guilty of contempt of Court and subject to the court's power to punish contempt of court."